Tools and Procedures for Verifying the Absence of Nuclear Weapons

Practical Aspects of Nuclear Disarmament Verification 27 April 2018 Ryan Snyder, Researcher



Setting the context...

- Cooperative process with reasonable access to bases or facilities that may contain nuclear weapons
- Looking for nuclear weapons, not materials.
- What technologies and procedures of verification can provide this assurance?

There are three aspects to verifying absence...

- Absence of nuclear weapons
 - Missile base, submarine base, or air base
 - Nuclear weapon storage facility
 - Surface ship or a submarine
- Confidence that the facility cannot support permanent deployment of nuclear weapons
- Confidence that delivery systems cannot support nuclear missions

Confirming absence of weapons...

- Deployed weapons
 - For example, warheads on ballistic missiles
- Weapons in storage (not deployed)
 - Warheads in containers, bombs or cruise missiles in storage
- Confirming that it is nonnuclear
 - Can do active interrogation: neutrons, x-rays
- START/New START procedures

START/New START

- Detailed provisions for inspections
- Tools exist to confirm nonnuclear nature of objects
 - (e) 2 Pocket calculators with spare batteries;
 - (f) 2 Magnetic compasses;
 - (g) 3 Rolls of tamper-indicating tape seals;
 - (h) 100 Unique tamper-proof seals;
 - (i) 2 Sets of tools for applying unique tamper-proof seals;
 - (j) 10 Flashlights (safety approved) with spare batteries and spare bulb; and
 - (k) 10 Dosimeters.
- 2. List of equipment to be provided by the inspected Party at the request of the inspecting Party for making linear measurements (quantity for one inspection team):
 - (a) 1 3-meter range pole;
 - (b) 4 Plumb bobs;
 - (c) 2 Plumb bob cords;



Source: http://military.people.com.cn/GB/6702068.html

Confirming no permanent deployment...

- Support equipment
- Agreement on equipment that is essential
 - Climate control?
 - Security perimeter?
- Difficult to eliminate capability for temporary /emergency deployment
 - Landing strip



Hans Kristensen. "Estimated Nuclear Weapons Locations 2009," November 25, 2009. Source: https://fas.org/blogs/security/2009/11/locations/



Olmstead, Kathryn. "Have You Seen the Nuclear Weapons Storage Igloos in Limestone?" The Bangor Daily News. Source: http://bangordailynews.com/2015/11/05/living/tour-recalls-storage-of-nuclear-weapons-at-secret-sitein-limestone/

Confirming that nuclear missions are not supported...

- Might be possible for some delivery systems (mostly aircraft)
- This has been done in the past
 - Aircraft based in Sevastopol
 - B-1B bombers
- Difficult and maybe impossible for missiles, ships



Source: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:F16-Hangar.jpg

 Might be useful in some circumstances if a state wants to demonstrate that it doesn't have nuclear capability

Conclusion

- For the purposes of verifying the absence of nuclear weapons, we seem to have the tools
- Some adjustments to the New START procedures may be necessary, but appear possible.
- Procedures can be developed for a range of scenarios